

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,620	12/06/2001	Jeffrey David Shelley	KCC-15,814	6625
35844	7590 02/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
2800 WEST I SUITE 365	HIGGINS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ESTATES, IL 60195		1771	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\neg \neg$			
man to the state of the state o	Application No.	SHELLEY ET AL.				
Advisory Action	10/010,620	Art Unit				
	Examiner Elizabeth M Cole	1771				
	Elizabeth M Cole					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	Final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rejuster.	the final Office action; or (2) as set for ection, even if timely filed, may reduce	rth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 January 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	lling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been cor see Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place	the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a) will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered and an low or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-11,13-27 and 29-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	Control of the Contro					
10. Other:		Elizabeth M Cole	0			
		Primary Examiner				

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kane teaches the desirability of crimped filaments in forming a lofty web. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have employed crimped filaments.